# Effect of Azolla on the Rice Yield, Fertilizer-<sup>15</sup>N Recovery, Soil N Budget and Floodwater Properties in an Azolla - Rice Intercropping Systems

S. ALI and K.A. MALIK

Sikander Ali and Kauser A. Malik Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

## ABSTRACT

A pot study was conducted to investigate the effect of Azolla alone and in combination with urea on IRRI-6 rice yield, total N and urea <sup>15</sup>N uptake by rice, <sup>15</sup>N retention in soil, and floodwater pH in the rice-Azolla dual croping system. The experiment was performed in 25 cm dia pots containing 10 Kg of a local paddy soil. Azolla pinnata was inoculated 20 days after transplanting (DAT) at 300 g  $\rm m^2$  and allowed to grow without incorporation in Azolla cover treatments, and 50% Azolla was incorporated whenever it covered the water surface in Azolla incorporated treatments.

15 N labelled urea was applied 23 DAT for 30 Kg N/ha, and again 70 DAT at 30 Kg N/ha, for 50 Kg N/ha, for 50 Kg N/ha, and again 70 DAT at 30 Kg N/ha, for 50 Kg N/ha, for 5 DAT at 30 Kg N/ha for 60 Kg N/ha treatments.

The results indicated that percent increase in grain yield was 29 for Azolla cover, 69 for Azolla incorporated, 48 for 30 Kg N/ha, 115 for 60 Kg N/ha, 67 for Azolla cover + 30 Kg N/ha, 135 for Azolla cover + 60 Kg N/ha, 111 for Azolla incorporated + 30 Kg N/ha and 156 for Azolla incorporated + 60 Kg N/ha. The total N uptake by rice (straw + grain) was higher in Azolla + urea treatments than urea only. The total 15N recovery (rice + soil) of the labelled urea was 12 and 20% higher for 30 Kg N/ha in combnination with Azolla cover and Azolla incorporated respectively, than 30 Kg N/ha urea only. The presence of Azolla reduced the N losses, as % 15N losses were 45 for 30 Kg N/ha and only 33 for Azolla + 30 Kg N/ha treatment. The floodwater pH during day time increased upto 8.6 in control and it remained much lower (7.6) in Azolla containing pots. The higher pH and temperature and more algal growth in non-Azolla pots may be some of the reasons for more N losses in pots receiving urea only. The results indicated that percent increase in grain yield was 29

## INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is the most common limiting nutrient for plant growth. A large inputs of nitrogen is required for the modern high yielding rice varieties (Roger and Watanabe 1986). This nitrogen demand in

Proceedings First International Symposium On Paddy Soil Fertility, Chiangmai, Thaliland. Dec, 6-13 1988. Organized By: Paddy Soil Fertility Working Group, Supported By: DLD, DA, IRRI, TARC, JICA, ISSS, IBSRAM and SFST.

the near future is hardly to be met by chemical N fertilizers alone due to limited supply of fossil fuel. Also in view of the polluting effect of using larger quantities of N fertilizers on the environment, it is advisable to increase the effeciency of chemical fertilizers (Hermelink and Kramer 1987). Improvement in the utilization of fertilizer N by paddey is particularly more important, as rice recovers only 30-40% of applied N whereas dryland crops recover 50-60%. (Craswell and Vlek 1979).

Amongst the N2-fixers, Azolla has been used tradionally as green manure for rice production in South East Asia, and is still considered an important biofertilizer for rice crop (Liu 1979, Lumpkin and Plucknet 1981. Tuan and Thuyet 1979, Watanabe 1987). Azolla is used as monocrop and intercrop with rice, but its use as intercrop is considered more economical (Fiore and Gutbrod 1987; Singh et al 1984) and appears to be feasible (Rains and Talley 1979) and easily adaptable (Singh 1981). The use of Azolla alone and in combination with chemical N fertilizer has been reported by different workers (Mabbyad 1987, Watanabe 1987), but the information on the effect of Azolla on the availability of applied fertilizer to rice and its retention in soil is lacking. We therefore, studied the effect of Azolla as full cover, half incorporated when it reached ful cover, alone and in combination with 10N labelled urea to estimate its usefulness to rice yield, N uptake by rice and soil N budget.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in 25 cm (i.d.) glazed pots in the green house, in a complete randomised desing with three replicates and 9 treatments:  $T_1$ , control (no nitrogen, no Azolla);  $T_2$ , Azolla cover;  $T_3$ , Azolla incorporated;  $T_4$ , 30 kg N ha ;  $T_5$ , 60 kg N ha ,  $T_6$ , Azolla cover + 30 kg N ha ;  $T_7$ , Azolla cover + 60 kg N ha ,  $T_8$ , Azolla incorporated + 30 kg N ha ;  $T_9$ , Azolla incorporated + 60 kg N ha .

The paddy soil used in the experiment was collected from the rice fields of the NIAB campus. It was a loam with 14% clay, 33% silt and 53% sand. The soil had pH (1:1) 7.43, EC (1:1) 0.95 mScm<sup>-1</sup>, C 0.49% and total N 0.67%. After air drying and sieving through 2 mm sieve, 10 Kg soil was added per pot and flooded for 2 weeks and puddled. IR - 6 rice was transplanted at 2 seedlings per hill and 5 hills per pot on July 25, grown to maturity and harvested on Dec. 1, 1985. The soil was kept flooded (1-4cm) throughout the rice season and watering was stopped 2 weeks before harvesting the rice. The data for tiller number and maximum plant height was recorded 3 days before the rice harvest.

Azolla pinnata a local heat tolerant strain (Ali and Malik 1987) was inoculated at 15 g per pot (300g m $^2$ ) at 20 DAT of rice in the Azolla treatment pots (T2, T3, T6 - T9. Supurphosphate solution at 20 kg P ha $^1$  (area basis) was sprinkled onto floodwater in all the pots including control.  $^{15}\mathrm{N}$  labelled urea (1.972%  $^{15}\mathrm{N}$  atom excess) was applied at 30 kg N ha $^1$  (area basis) in solution form 23 DAT in T4, T6 and T9 and

similarly another dose of 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied 70 DAT in case of 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment ( $T_5$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_9$ ).

In case of Azolla cover treatments, Azolla was allowed to grow, and was not incorporated into the soil, while in Azolla-incorporated treatments approximately half the Azolla was incorporated into soil whenever Azolla covered the floodwater fully and thus totla of 7 incorporations were made, being 31, 39, 46, 56, 60, 68, 81 DAT in Azolla incorporated treatments (T3, T8, T9). Floodwater was not drained from the pots to avoid  $^{19}{\rm N}$  losses, but watering of pots was stopped about 3 days prior to each Azolla incorporation. The evapo-transpiration decreased weater level to about 0.5-1 cm and Azolla was hand incorporated into top soil.

The pH of floodwater was measured with a portable pH meter in situ, and also with a laboratory pH meter by taking the water samples on 11 different days (56 to 111 DAT) during rice growth at different day timings (8 am to 4 pm). The water samples taken for pH measurement in the lab were returned back to the respective pot to avoid  $^{15}{\rm N}$  losses from the system. The pH at soil-water interface and top 3-5 cm soil was measured at 80, 89 and 93 DAT.

The temperature of floodwater and top 3-5 cm soil was measured at noon on 70, 74, 82 and 90 DAT. The algal growth was observed in water and on soil surface on 30, 54 and 80 DAT. Azolla samples were taken 80 DAT for total N and  $^{15}{\rm N}$  determination.

Rice was dried at  $60^{\circ}\text{C}$  for dry weight recording and ground in a selfcleaning mill (Cyclotec) to minimize  $^{15}\text{N}$  cross contramination. After determining total N by Kjeldahl method (Bremner and Mulvany 1982), the distillate was acidified and evaporated to 1-2 ml and used for  $^{15}\text{N}$  determination in the mass spectrometer (Hauk 1982), and  $^{15}\text{N}$  calculations were made according to IAEA (1983).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Rice tillers and yield

As compared to control the tiller height increased sligghtly for Azolla cover, Azolla incorporated and 30 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>; but this increase was significant for 60 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and all the Azolla + urea treatments (Table 1). Similarly less increase in tiller height was observed for Azolla dual (grown alongwith rice) and incorporated, than Azolla basal incorporated, fertilizer or Azolla incorporated plus fertilizer (Singh 1981). The increase in tillers per pot was lesser for Azolla but sigtnificinatly higher in Azolla + Urea and urea treatment (Table 1). Similar trend for increase in tiller number was also observed by other workers (Pande 1980, Singh 1981, Singh and Singh 1987), SFIZAAS 1975). The lesser effect of only Azolla treatments on tiller number may be due to insufficient availability of Azolla - N during tillering stage of rice as Azolla was inoculated 20 DAT. Singh

and Singh (1987) have also observed negligible effect of Azolla on the tiller number if inoculated 21 DAT or later.

The rice straw yield in control and Azolla alone treatments was similar but significantly higher for urea and urea + Azolla treatments (Table 1). The increase in straw yield was observed by Pande (1980) for Azolla basal incorporation, and by Singh and Singh (1987) for Azolla inoculation at 10 and 30 DAT and incorporation 25 and 50 DAT. The reason for not observing any increase in straw yield in our experiment, may be the same as explained above for tiller number. The percent increase in rice grain yield was 29 for Azolla cover, 69 for Azolla incorporated, 48 for 30 Kg N and 115 for 60 Kg N ha over control (Table 1) Approximately 20% higher grain yield was observed for Urea + Azolla cover treatments, and 41 to 63% for Urea + Azolla incorporated treatments as compared to urea treatment respectively. There was upto 31% increase in the biomass (straw + grain) production due to Azolla over control; Azolla + Urea treatments were slightly superior to urea only. The increase in grain yield for Azolla treatments which was not observed for rice straw, may be due to more Azolla - N availability at later stage of rice growth (Singh and Singh 1987). The increase in rice grain yield by unincorporated Azolla has also been reported to be 18% by Liu (1979) and SFIZAAS (1975); 20% by Watanabe (1987); 24% by Foire (1987), 19-29% by Singh et al (1984) 6-39% by Singh (1979),  $12 \div 38\%$  by Reynaud (1984), 58% by Loudhapasitiporn and Kanareugsa (1987) and 23-67% by Talley and Talley (1977). The benefit of Azolla cover may be partially due to secretion of some of the fixed  $N_2$  as ammonium into floodwater during its active growth, but mainly from N release after its natural death and decay occuring after over crowded growth conditions (Ito and Watanabe 1985, Liu 1984, Rains and Talley 1979, Talley and Rains 1980, Watanabe et al 1981), and also by suppression of weeds (Diara et al 1985). As mentioned above rice yield was 20-63% higher for Urea + Azolla treatments than urea only indicating substatial (20%) increase in rice grain yield due to Azolla even without its incorporation which is a least labour requiring technique. Natural lodging (natural death and decay, no incorporation) of Azolla is reported to be low in cost and labour and adaptable if timing and field irrigation practices are appropriate (SFIZAAS 1975, Lumpkan & Plucknett 1982). But if the labour for incorporation is cheaper and easily available the rice yield can be further increased upto 63% over urea only. Similar results were also obtained by other workers who reported benefit ranging from 14-47% (Watanabe 1987, Singh 1981).

To know how much N was taken up by Azolla from the applied, <sup>15</sup>N labelled urea, Azolla samples were collected after 45 days of first <sup>15</sup>N labelled urea application from pots with 30 Kg N/ha, and 10 days after the second urea application from pots with 60 Kg N/ha. The results indicated 1-2 % N in Azolla derived from urea for 30 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 24-27% for 60 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments. The data indicated that only a minor portion of urea N was taken up by Azolla, and this fertilizer-N was also returned to soil after the death and decay of Azolla in the pot. Kumarasinghe et

al (1985) observed 76-90% of Azolla -N derived from N<sub>2</sub> fixation in the presence of chemical N fertilizer in laboratory and field conditions. Peters and Ito (1984) observed 43-50% ethylene Production in Azolla in the presence of 12.5 mM urea as compared to control. The other benefit of Azolla is that most of its N is taken up by rice in 30-60 days after its incorporation, while of urea most of the N is taken up within 30 days (Eskew 1987). These studies and our data indicated that this unique property of Azolla to continue fixing atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> even in the presence of combinede N and N release during grain formation stage, makes the use of Azolla as intercrop, compatible with the early application of chemical N fertilizer, for increasing both the grain and straw yield.

#### Total N content of rice and soil

The total N uptake by rice plant (straw + grain) was 25% and 63% higher for Azolla cover and Azolla incorporated, respectively over control (Table 1). The Azolla improved N uptake by rice when used alongwith urea, as rice-N content was 19-29% higher for Urea + Azolla cover and 46-48% for Urea + Azolla incorporated treatments than urea only. Reynaud (1984) also observed 6-10 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> higher in rice for Azolla cover over control and upto 8 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> extra for Azolla incorporated + 30 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> than 30 Kg fertilizer-N only. Similarly Singh et al (1984) found 15-24% higher N uptake by rice for unincorporated Azolla and 30-49% higher N for Azolla grown dual and twice incorporated, over control.

The total N remaining in the soil after the rice harvest was similar to control in 30 and 60 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> urea, but 6-8% higher in Azolla cover and Azolla incorporated pots than control, while 6-10% higher for Azolla + Urea treatments than urea only (Table 1). This increase in total soil N content due to Azolla may be due to its N<sub>2</sub> fixation, and conservation of native soil N to be discussed under flooodwater properties. The increase in soil-N after rice harvest was also observed by Liu (1979) and Reynaud (1984).

## N recovery from $^{15}$ N labelled urea in rice and soil

The \$^{15}N\$ uptake of applied urea, by rice straw and grain or retention in soil after rice harvest, computed as percent nitrogen derived from fertilizer (% NdfF) and fertilizer N yield (FNY) was higher for 60 kg N ha alone or in combination with Azolla than 30 kg N ha alone or with Azolla (Table 2). The N recovery of \$^{15}N\$ labelled urea calculated as percent fertilizer N recovery (% FNR) was 6-10 in rice straw, and significant increase was observed for 30 kg N/ha + Azolla incorporated than 30 kg N urea only. The N recovery from \$^{15}N\$ labelled urea in straw is in agreement with the observation of Kulasooriya et al (1987) who obtained 9% recovery of N from the labelled urea, incorporated in paddy soil. The urea N recovery in rice grain was 13-26% being slightly lower than reported by Kulasooriya et al (1987), who

reported 28% N recovery, which may be due to hgiehr amount (80-90 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and early incorporation of <sup>15</sup>N labelled urea in their rice soil. The recovery from <sup>15</sup>N labelled urea in rice grain was higher for Urea + Azolla treatments than urea only and this increase was significant for 30 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azolla incorporated, and 60 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azolla cover or incorporated than urea only. The overall N recovery of labelled urea by rice crop (Straw + Grain) followed the trend of N recovery in grain and it was 20-26% for urea and 24-33% for Urea + Azolla. This overall recovery is slightly higher to N recovery reported by Kumarasinghe et al (1985) who observed 18% for basal urea incorporation, however a wide variation (7-38%) in fertilizer N recovery for basal surface application has been reported (Craswell and Vlek 1979).

The urea  $^{15}$ N retention in soil after rice harvest was generally hgiehr for Azolla + Urea treatments than urea only and % FNR was upto 12% higher for 30 kg N ha + Azolla incorporated than 30 kg N urea only (Table 2). The total urea N recovery in rice plant + soil was also hgiher for Azolla + Urea treatments than urea only, and upto 20% higher FNR was found for Azolla incorporation + 30 kg N ha than 30 kg N urea only (Table 2). The N losses of applied urea (determined by difference) also indicated benefit of Azolla, as these losses were 12% and 20% lesser for Urea + Azolla cover and Urea + Azolla incorporated respectively, than 30 kg N ha urea only (Table 2).

Algal growth, pH and temperature of flood-water and top soil.

The algal growth comprising blue-green and green algae and diatoms, was observed in the flood water and on the soil surface in control and only urea treated pots; whereas almost no algal growth was found in pots having Azolla, and flood water was clear.

The pH of the flood water increased during noon in all the treatments, but this increase was more prominent in control and only urea pots than pots having Azolla alone or with urea (Fig. 1). The flood water in control pots rose to 8.2 by 11 am and reached 8.6 at 1 pm and remained around 8.5 upto 3 pm. Similarly flood water pH in urea applied pots remained high (8.3) from 12 to 3 pm. The flood water pH increase in Azolla + Urea treatments was less, being 7.8 at 10 am and afterwards there was no significant change even upto 3 pm. The lowest value for flood water pH at all the times was recorded for Urea + Azolla cover and it was as low as 7.4 at 3 pm, when pH in control was around 8.5. The data indicated maximum pH difference of 1.2 units, being upto 8.6 in control and as low as 7.4 in Azolla cover + Urea.

The pH at soil water interface was 7.9 for control, 7.5 for urea, 7.4 for Azolla cover and 7.1 for Azolla incorporatede treatment, at noon times. Similarly the pH of the top 3-5 cm soil was higher in control than Azolla cover, urea and Azolla incorporated, being 7.6, 7.3, 7.1 and 7.0 respectively.

The difference in flood water temperature was maximum at noon in

different treatments, and the temperature was  $31.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  in control, 30.2 in urea, 30.0 in Azolla incorporated and  $29.6^{\circ}\text{C}$  in Azolla cover pots.

Tuan and Thuyet (1979) also reported increase in flood water pH to 9.4 at noon in control while it remained lower (7.6) in Azolla full cover or copper sulfate treated water. According to Lumpkin and Plucknett (1982) growing Azolla as intercrop with rice, keeps the water cooler and algal growth lower by shading, reduces oxygen level in soil and decreases the flood water pH due to respiration.

The improvement in the efficiency of applied <sup>15</sup>N labelled urea in the presence of Azolla, mentioned above, may be due to reduction in pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature and algal growth. Mikkelsen et al (1978) observed increase in flood water pH, decrease in dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> during day time due to algal photosynthesis, leading to NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization. The higher soil or water pH causes more volatilization losses, and upto pH 9 the concentration of aquous ammonia increases about 10 fold for each unit increase in pH and these losses may be upto 60% in some rice fields (Mikkelson and De Datta 1979). Ferdrazzini and Tarsitano (1987) observed maximum ammonium concentration and losses of applied urea from 10 to 16 hours in a flooded soil. Freney et al (1981) observed NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization rate followed the diurnal pattern of water temperature and windspeed, and N losses were maximum in the middle of the day. Like NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization losses, N losses by denitrification in paddy soil may also be up to 63% because the oxidiation of appllied N to NO<sub>3</sub> occurs in the thin oxidized surface layer and it is followed by denitrification losses (Crasswell and Vlek 1979). The maintenance of reduced conditions for most of the growing season and deep placement were (Patrik 1982). From these studies it appearede that Azolla minimized NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization as well as denitrification losses by decreasing algal growth, flood water pH, temperature and air movement on the water surface, and keeping the floodwater and top soil in reduced conditions.

## Practical significance

About 70% of the rice in developing countries is grown as a rainfed crop (Crasswell and Vlek 1979), and outside Chima or Vietnam very few rice producing areas in the world have wet -cool season for growing Azolla as mono-crop before rice (Loudhapasitiporn and Kanareugsa 1987, Lumpkin 1987). Thus growing Azolla with rice as intercrop is more practical in most of the paddy fields, and it also reduces 20-23% water losses by its cover (Diara and Von Hove 1984). Since Azolla + Chemial N fertilizer was better than fertilizer only, the use of Azolla may be encouraged with fertilizer to increase rice yield, N uptake and soil N budget, as the unique property of Azolla of retaining sufficient N2 fixation activity in the presence of fertilizer N, and make the use of old Azolla technology compatible with the modern use of N fertilizer.

#### REFERENCES

- Ali S and Malik K A 1987 Use of Azolla in Pakistan <u>In</u> Azolla Utilization. Proc. W/S on Azolla Use. Fuzhou Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 177-185. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P 0 Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Bremner J M and Mulvaney C S 1982 Nitrogen total <u>In</u> Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2 Agron 9. pp. 595-624. Am. Soc. Agron.,
  Inc. Madison Wis. USA. Craswell E T and Vlek P L G 1979 Fate
  Craswood fertilizer nitrogen applied to wetland rice. In Nitrogen
  and Rice. pp 175-192. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933

Manila, Philippines.

- Diara H F Van Brandt H Diop A M and Van Hove C 1987 Azolla and its use in rice culture in West Africa <u>In</u> Azolla Utilization. proc. W/S on Azolla Use. Fuzhou Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 147-152. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P 0 Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Diara, H F Von Hove C 1984 Azolla a water saver in irrigated rice fields. <u>In</u> Practical Application of Azolla for Rice Production. Proc. Int. Workshop. Mayaguez, Puerto Rico. Nov. 1982 Eds. W S Silver and EC Sehroder. pp 115-118. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, DorDrecht, The Netherlands.
- Eskew D L 1987 Use of 15N in N2-fixation and N cycling studies of Azolla. <u>In</u> Azolla Utilization. Proc. W/S on Azolla use. Fuzhon Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 233-239. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Ferdrazzini F R and Tarsitano R 1987 Ammonia volatalization from flooded soils following urea application. Plant and Soil 91, 101-107. Fiore M F and Gutbrod K G 1987 Use of Azolla in Brazil In Azolla Utilization Proc. Workshop Azolla use Fuzhou, Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 123-130. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Freney J R Denmaed O T Watanabe I and Crasswell E T 1981 Ammonia and nitrous oxide losses following application of ammonium sulfate to flooded rice. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 32, 37-45.
- Hauk R D 1982 Nitrogen isotope ratio analysis. In Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2 Agron 9. pp 735-779. Am. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison Wis USA.
- Hermelink P and Kramer W 1987 The importance of nitrogen fixation by blue algae and Azolla anabaena symbiosis in the cultivation of lowlands rice. Plant Res. Develop. 25, 50-57.
- IAEA (Int. Atomic Energy Agency) 1983 A guide to the use of Nitrogen-15 and Radioisotopes in studies of Plant Nutrition: Calculations and Interpretation of Data. IAEA-TECDOC-288. IAEA P O Box 100, A-1400 Vienna, Austria.
- Ito O and Watanabe I 1985 Availability to rice plant of nitrogen fixed by <u>Azolla</u> Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 31, 91-104.

- Kulasooriya S A Hirimburegama W K and Abeysekera S W 1987 Use of Azolla in Sri Lanka. <u>In</u> Azolla Utilization. Proc. W/S on Azolla Use. Fuzhon Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 131-139. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Kumarasinghe K S, Zapata F, Eskew D L, Arrilaga J L and Danso K A 1985 <u>Azolla-Anabaena</u> association: <sup>15</sup>N substratum labelling technique to assess N<sub>2</sub> fixation and its potential as biofertilizer for rice. <u>In</u> Biological Nitrogen Fixation in Agrica. Proc. Ist Conf. African Assoc. for Biol. N<sub>2</sub> fixation. July 23-27, 1984. Ed. H Ssali pp 454-464. Nairobi Rhizobium MIRCEN. Univ. Narobi., Kenya.
- Liu C C 1979 Use of Azolla in rice production in China.  $\underline{\text{In}}$  Nitrogen and Rice pp 375-394. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila Philippines.
- Liu C C 1984 Recent advnaces on Azolla research. <u>In Practical Application of Azolla for Rice Production. Proc. Inst. Workshop, Mayaguez Puerto Rico. Nov. 1982. Eds. Ws Silver and EC Schroder. pp 45-54. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.</u>
- Loudhapasitiporn L and Kanareugsa C 1987 Azolla use in Thailand.

  <u>In</u> Azolla Utilization. Proc. W S on Azolla Use. Fuzhou Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 119-122. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Lumpkin T A 1987 Collection maintenance and cultivation of Azolla. <u>In</u>. Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation Technology. Ed. GH Elkan. pp 55-99. Dept. Microbiol. North Carolina State Univ. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Lumpkin T A and Plucknett D L 1981 Azolla a low cost aqquatic green manure for agricultural crops. <u>In</u> Background Paper for Innovative Biological Technologies for Lesser Developed Countries, an Office of Technology Assessment Workshop. Nov. 24-25, 1980. pp 311-348. US Govt. Printing Office, Sept. 1981 Washington DC.
- Lumpkin T A and Plucknett DL 1982 Azolla as a Green Manure: Use and Management in Crop Production, Westview Press, Inc. Boulder Colorado, 230 p.
- Mabbayad B B 1987 The Azolla program of the Philippines. <u>In</u> Azolla Utilization. Proc. W S on Azolla use. Fuzhou Fujian, China. March 31-April 5, 1985 pp. 101-108. Intl. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila Philippines.
- Mikkelsen, D S and De Datta S K 1979 Ammonia volatabization from wetland rice soils. <u>In</u> Nitrogen and Rice pp 135-156. Intl. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.

- Mikkelsen D S De Datta S K and Obcemea W N 1978 Ammonia volatalization losses from flooded rice soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42, 725-730.
- Pande H K 1980 Organic resource management: Azolla its potential role in developing Indian Agriculture. <u>In Proc. Seminar on Critical Areas Affecting Fertilizer Consumption in India.</u> New Delhi, Dec. 13-15, 1979. Eds. R N Roy et al. pp II-3(ii) 1-10. The Fertilizer Association of India, New Delhi-110 067, India.
- Patrick W H 1982 Nitrogen transformation in submerged soils <u>In</u>
  Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils. Ed F J Stevenson, pp 448465. Am. Soc. Agron Madison, Wis.
- Peters G A and Ito D 1984 Determining N<sub>2</sub>fixation and N input in Azolla grown with and without combined nitrogen sources: keeping the acetylene reduction assay in the proper perspective <u>In</u> Practical Application of Azolla for Rice Production. Proc. Int. Workshop Mayaguez, Puerto Rico. Nov. 1982. Eds. W S Silver and E C Schroder. pp 29-44. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Rain D W and Talley S N 1979 Use of Azolla in North America. <u>In</u> Nitrogen and Rice. pp 419-431. Int. Rice Res. Inst. Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines.
- Reynaud P A 1984 <u>Azolla pinnata</u> var. <u>Africana</u>. Backgrond, ecophysiology, plots assay. <u>In Practical Application for Rice Production</u>. Proc. Int. Workshop, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico. Nov. 1982 Eds. WS Silver and EC Schroder. pp 73-91. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Roger P A and Watanabe I 1986 Technologies for utilizing biological nitrogen fixation in lowland rice: potentials current use and limiting factors. Fertil. Res. 9, 39-77.
- SFIZAAS (Soil and Fertilizer Institute, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences) 1975 Cultivation Propagation and Utilization of Azolla [in Chinese]. Agricultural Publishing House, Beijing.
- Singh A L and Singh PK 1987 Influence of Azolla management on the growth, yield of rice and soil fertility I. Azolla growth N2 fixation,. and growth and yield of rice, Plant and Soil 102, 41-47.
- Singh P K 1981 Use of Azolla and blue-green algae in rice cultivation in India. <u>In</u> Associative N<sub>2</sub> Fixation. vol II. Eds. PB Vose and AP Ruschel. pp 183-196. CRC Press Inc. Boca Florida USA.

- Singh P K Misra S P and Singh A L 1984 Azolla biofertilization to increase rice production with emphasis on dual cropping. In Practical Application of Azolla for Rice Production. Proc. Int. Workshoip, Myaguez, Puerto Rico. Nov 17-19, 1982 Eds. W S Silver and E C Schroder. pp 132-144. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Talley S N and Rains D W 1980 Azolla as nitrogen source for temperate rice. <u>In</u> Nitrogen Fixation. Vol II. Eds. W E Newton and W H Orme Johnson. pp 311-320. Univ. Park Press, Baltimore.
- Talley S N, Talley B J and Rains D W 1977 Nitrogen fixation by Azolla in rice fields. <u>In</u> Genetic Engineering for Nitrogen Fixation. Eds. A Hollaender. pp 259-281. Plenum Press, New York.
- Tuan D T and Thuyet T Q 1979 Use of Azolla in rice production in vietnam. <u>In Nitrogen and Rice. pp 395-405</u>. Intl. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Watanabe I 1986 Nitrogen fixation by non-legumes in tropical agriculture with special reference to wetland rice. Plant and Soil. 90, 343-357.
- Watanabe I 1987 Fertility and fertilizer evaluation for rice In Azolla Utilization. proc. W S on Azolla Use. Fuzhou Fujian. China. March 31-April 5, 1985. pp 197-205. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines.
- Watanabe I Ke-Zhi B, Berja N S, Espinas C R Ito O and Subudhi BPR 1981 The <u>Azolla anabaena</u> Complex and its Use in Rice Culture. IRRI Res Paper Ser. No. 69. Int. Rice Res. Inst. P O Box 933 Manila, Philippines. 11 P.

Table 1. Effect of Azolla and urea on the IR-6 rice yield, N uptake and tillers at harvest.

	Treatment	Straw	Grain yield	S+6 yield	Flant N content	Soil N	Tillers	Tillers height
			(g Pot-1)		(mg Pot-1)	) (q Pot-1)	no.Pot-1	t-1 (CA
<u>.</u>	Control	12.24	11.12	23.36	129	6.20	12	0.59
2	Azolla cover	12.20	14.39	26.59	160	6:57	1.4	64.3
m	Azolla incorporated	11.79	18.77	30,56	210 (63)	6.70	r) H	63.9
7	4- 30 kg N ha-* (Urea)	19.68	16,48 (48)	36.16	202 (57)	6.27	18	64.3
5	5- 60 Kg N ha-1 (Urea)	20.56	23.86	44.42	276 (114)	6.27	17	70.7
-0	Azolla cover + 30 Kg N ha-* (Urea)	17.74 (45)	18.60	36.34	227	6.83	38	٤*69
7	7- Azolla cover + 60 Kg N ha-1 (Urea)	19.57	26.18 (135)	45.75	313 (143)	09.9	15	67.7
i.	S. Azolla incorporated + 30 Kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> (Urea)	21.37	23.46	44.84	264	6.57	21	67.3
5	4. Azolla incorporated + 60 Kg N ha-* (Urea)	20.62	28.47 (156)	49.09	348 (160)	6.57	21	72.3
	rsp (2%)	3.66	3.90	6.75	42.6		3.7	3. M
							THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF	

Figures in parentheses indicate % increase over control, 9+6 = Straw plus Grain

Table 2 Effect of Azolla on the 15N recovery of 15N labelled urea my rice and its retention in soil	ct of Az	colla on	the 1:	SN recov	rery of	15N lab	elled u	rea by	rice an	d its r	etentio	lios ni n
lreatment	Ric	Kice straw	AM-	ů.	Rice grain	ıin	9+8		Soil		P+0	N lost
	NdtF (%)	FNY mg/pot	FNR (%)	NdfF (%)	FNY mg/pot	FNR (%)	FNR (%)	NdfF (%)	FNY mg/pot	FNR (%)	FNR (%)	(%)
Urea 30 Kg N	13.40	10.5	7.11	16.13	16.13 19.2	13.02	20.13 0.824 51.7	0.824	51.7	35.08	55.21	44.79
Urea 60 Kg N	24.33	18.3	6.20	28.94	58.0	19.68	25.88	1.753	1.753 110.1	37.36	63.24	36,76
Azolla cover + 30kg N	17.06	11.8	8.01	14.64	23.2	15.74	23.75	0.929	9.29	43.20	66.95	33.05
Azolla cover + 60 Kg N	27.37	20.0	08.9	29.43	70.7	24.00	30.80	1.807 118.5	118.5	40.23	71.03	28.97
Azolla incor.	17.75	14.0	9.53	14.44	26.6	18.06	27.86	27.86 1.247	6.69	47.42	75.00	25.00
Azolla incor.	25.97	19.8	6.73	29.60	76.5	25.96	32.69	32.69 1.797	117.7	39.96	72.65	27.35
rsp (2%)	4.92	Í	2.09	2.36	1	3.21	3.97 0.56	0.56	1	SN	SN	16 9

Abbreviations used: S+6 = Straw + Grain, P+S = Plant + Soil, NdfF = Nitrogen derived from Fertilizer, FNY = Fertilizer nitrogen yield, FNR = Fertilizer nitrogen recovery,  $\chi$  N lost = 100 - ( $\chi$  FNR in Plant + Soil).

