ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Journal of Journal of
Food Processing and Preservation Registered I

WILEY

Antifungal effects of chitosan films incorporated with essential oils and control of fungal contamination in peanut kernels

Su Hlaing Chein¹ | **Muhammad Bilal Sadiq2** | **Anil Kumar Anal1**

¹Department of Food, Agriculture and Bioresources, Asian Institute of Technology, Pathum Thani, Thailand

²School of Life Sciences, Forman Christian College (A Chartered University), Lahore, Pakistan

Correspondence

Anil Kumar Anal, Department of Food Agriculture and Bioresources, Asian Institute of Technology, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand.

Email: [anilkumar@ait.asia,](mailto:anilkumar@ait.asia) anil.anal@gmail.com

Funding information

Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NMFA); Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

Abstract

The study investigated the effects of chitosan (CS) combined with essential oils (EOs) in controlling the fungal contamination in peanut kernels. The antifungal activities of CS and EOs were evaluated against *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium citrinum.* CS (2%, w/v in 1% v/v acetic acid) packaging films were formulated by incorporating different EOs (4%) separately, that is, thyme (TEOs), cinnamon (CEOs), and lemongrass (LEOs), respectively. CEOs showed lowest minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 40 μl/ ml against *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum*. CS films incorporated with CEOs showed high tensile strength and smooth morphology with less fissures in comparison to films in‐ corporated with TEOs and LEOs. CEO‐based CS films showed complete inhibition of fungal growth at 28°C and 5°C for 24 days. The combination of CS and CEOs coating restricted the *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* contamination to 9.8% and 13.4%, respectively, in artificially inoculated peanut kernels at 28°C for 14 days of storage. CS can be used in combination with EOs to control postharvest fungal contamination in peanuts.

Practical applications

CS are well known for the formulation of food packaging films; however, antifungal activity of CS is limited. This study explains the antifungal effects of CS and EOs. The combination of CS and EO can be used to reduce the concentration of EOs as antifungal agents which otherwise might affect the organoleptic attributes of food. The CS films incorporated with EOs are possible to use for shelf life extension and prevention of postharvest fungal contamination of agriculture commodities.

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Fungal deterioration of seeds and grains is a main problem in the postharvest storage system (Ekwomadu, Gopane, & Mwanza, 2018; Norlia, Jinap, Nor‐Khaizura, Son, & Chin, 2018). Harvested seeds are colonized by various species of fungi, under conditions leading to deterioration and mycotoxin production (Kumari, Jayachandran, & Ghosh, 2019). Peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea*) are one of the most im‐ portant food and oilseed crops cultivated and utilized in most parts of the world. Peanuts are widely accepted as excellent source of nu‐ trition due to their high protein content, carbohydrates, fatty acids, dietary fibers, vitamins, and minerals (Nakai et al., 2008). The peanut seeds have several applications such as formulation of peanut butter, oil, and other products (Yaw, Richard, Osei, Seth, & Adelaide, 2008). The structure and nutritional composition of peanuts allow the growth of several fungal species, contaminating the crops and seeds during harvesting and storage (Mutegi, Ngugi, Hendriks, & Jones, 2009). The fungal contamination of peanuts can occur during any stage of harvesting and storage. The presence of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium citrinum* was frequently reported in storage grains (Riba et al., 2010; Roige et al., 2009). Norlia et al. (2018) reported that in *Aspergillus* section *Flavi*, *A. flavus* was dominant species in the contamination of peanuts. Previously it was reported that *Penicillium* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. were predominant in the contamination of peanuts (Guezlane‐tebibel, Bouras, Mokrane, Benayad, & Mathieu, 2013).

To overcome the fungal contamination of food in developing countries, the usual practice is to fumigate or treat the stored com‐ modities using different synthetic chemical preservatives. Most of the synthetic antimicrobials are not easily bio‐transformed into simpler forms and remain in the food chain for longer periods, causing toxic effects to consumers even in residual concentrations (Moosavy, Basti, & Ali, 2008). The various synthetic preservatives that were previously used to control fungal contamination are now banned due to associated health hazards. Methyl bromide was pre‐ viously used for control of fungal spores in stored food commodities but it was banned in 2005. Due to increased consumer awareness and food safety preferences, the current postharvest preservation technologies rely on the natural antimicrobials, biodegradable films, microwave heating, ozonation, and modified atmospheric packaging (Aloui et al., 2014).

Essential oils (EOs) are utilized as natural food preservatives and their usage complies with consumers' expectations, due to natural origin and generally recognized as safe status (Aloui et al., 2014; Arrebola, Sivakumar, Bacigalupo, & Korsten, 2010; Hromis et al., 2016). Application of EOs being natural is considered a safe treatment for the control of postharvest decay of fresh produce (Sivakumar & Bautista‐Banos, 2014). However, there are possible negative organ‐ oleptic effects of EOs, once applied on fresh produce, especially at higher doses for antimicrobial effect (Hyldgaard, Mygind, & Meyer, 2012). To overcome this issue, various studies have investigated the synergistic effects of EOs with other antimicrobial compounds to lower the required concentration of EOs (Sriwattanachai, Sadiq, & Anal, 2018). Cinnamon, thyme, and lemongrass EOs are effective in controlling the growth of *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. in food commodities (Antunes & Cavaco, 2010). Thyme, cinnamon, and lem‐ ongrass EOs are frequently used as natural antimicrobials in food for controlling fungal contamination (Sánchez‐González, Vargas, González‐Martínez, Chiralt, & Cháfer, 2011). Chitosan (CS) films in‐ corporated with thyme and cinnamon EOs were used as antimicro‐ bial food packaging (Hosseini, Razavi, & Mousavi, 2009).

CS, a linear polysaccharide derived from chitin deacetylation, is considered a nontoxic, biodegradable product with antimicrobial prop‐ erties (Badawy & Rabea, 2009; Sathivel, Liu, Huang, & Prinyawiwatkul, 2007). Films obtained from a CS dispersion, mixed with other active substances, have been used as a coating material to inhibit the growth of microorganisms in foods (Wang et al., 2011). The incorporation of EOs in CS coating is facilitated by emulsifying properties of CS, which permits the homogeneous distribution of EO droplets in the system, enabling the formation of a thin and translucent coating (Sánchez-Gonzáles, Chafer, Chiralt, & González‐Martínez, 2010). CS is a pre‐ ferred material for edible coating because it is a biodegradable cationic hydrocolloid and possesses antifungal activity in addition to its film‐ forming ability (Elsabee & Abdou, 2013; Shao et al., 2015).

The present study evaluated the efficacy of the combined ap‐ plication of CS with different EOs separately, (thyme white oil, lem‐ ongrass oil and cinnamon bark oil) to inhibit the postharvest fungal pathogens, *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* in peanut kernels. The in situ antifungal activity of CS and EOs was observed in peanut kernels during storage at room temperature and cold storage.

2 | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 | **Materials**

CS of low molecular weight (deacetylation degree) was obtained from Sigma‐Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, USA). *A. flavus* TISTR 3041 and *P. citrinum* TISTR 3437 were acquired from Thailand Institute of Scientific and Technological Research (TISTR), Bangkok, Thailand. EOs of cin‐ namon bark (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum*), lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus* L.), and thyme white (*Thymus vulgaris* L.), extracted by hydro‐distillation, were provided by Botanic‐Essence, Thailand. The major components of EOs provided by Botanic‐Essence, Thailand were reported as follows: thyme EO [p‐Cymene (26.32%), Thymol (21.31%), γ‐Terpinene (19.50%), Linalool (3.02%), Myrcene (2.56%), Terpinen‐4‐ol (1.78%), and Carvacrol (1.10%)]; lemongrass EO [Geranial (47.46%), Neral (33.34%), Geraniol (5.30%), Citronellal (2.53%), Mycrene (1.10%), Linalool (1.07%), Geranyl acetate (.85%), and Limonene (.35%)]; and cinnamon bark EO [(e)‐Cinnamaldehyde (44.46%), Eugenyl acetate (12.94%), Carryophellene (4.18%), Limonene (3.12%), Linalool (3.09%), alpha‐cymene (1.67%), Para cy‐ mene (1.37%), alpha-Terpinene (.88%), Camphene (.97%), and beta-Pinene (.68%)].

2.2 | **Antifungal activity of EOs and CS**

The antifungal activities of EOs and CS were estimated by the ra‐ dial growth inhibition assay (Tian et al., 2011). Potato dextrose agar (PDA, Himedia, India) containing different concentrations of CS (1, 2 and 3%, w/v) and EOs (2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 µl/ml) were pre‐ pared separately, followed by the addition of Tween 80 (2%, v/v) as emulsifier. The media was inoculated at the center with fungal plug (5 mm), containing actively growing mycelia of *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum*. After sealing with parafilm petri dishes were incubated at 30°C for 7 days in dark. PDA, containing 2% tween 80 without the addi‐ tion of EOs or CS, was used as a control. The lowest concentration of EOs that showed no visible fungal growth after 7 days was marked as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC); the antifungal activities of other concentrations are expressed by following Equation 1 (Tao, Jia, & Zhou, 2014).

$$
Inhibition (%) = \left[\frac{Dc - Dt}{Dc}\right] \times 10
$$
 (1)

Dc and Dt indicate the diameters of control and sample, respectively.

2.3 | **Effects of CS and EOs on fungal hyphae**

The effects of CS, Eos, and combination of CS with EOs on fungal hy‐ phae were determined by the method described by Sriwattanachai

et al. (2018) with slight modifications. Potato dextrose broth (PDB, Himedia, India) 20 ml, containing 2% of Tween 80, was inoculated with fungal spores (10⁴) and incubated at 30°C, 180 rpm for 48 hr in an incubator (N‐Biotek, South Korea). The hyphae were harvested by centrifugation (Centrikon T‐324, Germany) at 4,500× g for 5 min. The fungal cells were further washed twice with 5 ml of phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.4). The cells were harvested by centrifuga‐ tion at 4,500× g for 5 min and re‐suspended separately in 20 ml of PBS containing 2% Tween 80 (control), with 2% CS, 4% of EOs (sepa‐ rately with EOs of thyme white, lemongrass, and cinnamon bark), a combined mixture of CS (2%) with 4% of each EO. The samples were incubated at 25°C for 24 hr followed by staining of hyphae with lac‐ tophenol‐cotton blue mounting solution and observed under a light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

2.4 | **CS film incorporated with EOs**

The CS films incorporated with EOs were prepared by following the method of Wang et al. (2011) with slight modifications. The film‐ forming solution (FFS) was prepared by dissolving the CS (2%, w/v) in acetic acid solution (1%, v/v), followed by continuously stirring for 24 hr at 25°C. The mixtures were then added with glycerol (1%, v/v) as plasticizer. Before the incorporation of the EOs, CS solution was emulsified with Tween 80 (2% v/v). CS (2%) films enriched with EOs were prepared by adding the different concentrations of each EOs (.25% .5%, 1%, 2%, and 4%, v/v) to the FFS separately and CS film without EOs was used as the control. The FFS was subjected to ultrasonication for removal of air bubbles followed by casting on Plexiglas plates (8 × 8 cm) and dried at 25°C and 50% relative humidity for 48 hr in a humidity chamber (Ningbo Southeast Instrument Co., Ltd., Zhejiang, China). All the films were carefully peeled off and placed in a desiccator at 25°C and 55% RH for 48 hr for further experiments.

2.5 | **Film Characterization**

2.5.1 | **Thickness and tensile strength**

Film thickness was measured by micrometer NSK, YAB)2‐M (Japan). The mechanical properties of the films were determined as de‐ scribed by Wang et al. (2011) using texture analyzer (TA‐XT plus, Stable Microsystems, UK). The tensile strength was calculated by subjecting the films to 10 N load cell at a speed of 50 mm/min.

2.5.2 | **Solubility**

The solubility of the films was determined by a method described by Zhong, Song, and Li (2011) with some modification. The films were cut into pieces (3×3 cm) and dried at 105°C for 24 hr in an oven to get the initial dry mass (*m*1) The films were places in 30 ml of distilled water containing beaker covered with plastic wraps and stored at 25°C for 24 hr. The film pieces were taken out and dried again at 105°C for 24 hr to determine the final dry mass (m_2) . The solubility was estimated by using following Equation 2:

$$
\text{Film Solubility} = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m_1} \times 100 \tag{2}
$$

2.5.3 | **Water vapor permeability (WVP)**

The WVP of films was determined by measuring mass changes of Fisher/Payne permeability cups (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) during incubation at room temperature (25°C). Cups were filled with 5.0 g deionized water, sealed with films, and placed in a desiccator with 57% RH. Water vapor permeation ratio (WVPR) was calculated by Equation 3, based on the mass loss (*m*), time (*t*), and effective film area (*A*), whereas WVP was determined using the Equation 4 (Pelissari, Grossmann, Yamashita, & Pineda, 2009).

$$
WVPR = \frac{m}{t \times A} \tag{3}
$$

$$
WVP = \frac{WVPR \times Film\ thickness}{sp \times (RH_1 - RH_2)}
$$
 (4)

where sp is the water vapor saturation pressure (Pa), RH1 and RH2 indicate relative humidity inside (100%) and outside (57%) the cup, respectively.

2.5.4 | **Color measurement**

The color attributes of films were determined by colorimeter (Color Flex, Hunter Lab Reston, VA, USA) method as describe by Ojagh, Rezaei, Razavi, and Hosseini (2010) with slightly modifications. The total color difference (∆*E*) was calculated by the Equation 5.

$$
\Delta E = ((L*)^2 + (a*)^2 + (b*)^2)^{1/2}
$$
 (5)

where chromaticity parameters were indicated by *L* = lightness, *a* = red‐green, and *b* = yellow‐blue.

2.5.5 | **Scanning electron microscopy**

Microstructural of films was observed by scanning electron mi‐ croscopy (SEM) (Hitachi SU 8030, Japan). The films were cut and mounted on copper stubs followed by coating with gold. The films were then observed for microstructure at an accelerating voltage of 1.00 kv.

2.5.6 | **Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT‐IR)**

The spectra of all films were recorded by spectrum one FT‐IR spec‐ trometer (Perkin Elmer) at wavenumber range of 4,000–6,00 cm^{-1} at resolution of 4 cm−1. The sample was ground to fine powder with potassium bromide (KBr) and the mixture was subjected to compres‐ sion die before FTIR analysis (Sadiq, Hanpithakpong, Tarning, & Anal, 2015).

2.6 | **Antifungal activity of CS films incorporated with EOs in peanut conservation**

The CS (2%) films incorporated with 4% EOs (separately with EOs of thyme white, lemongrass and cinnamon bark) were evaluated for the preservation of peanut kernels against the fungal decay. The peanut kernels ($n = 30$) were packed in CS films (8×8 cm) incorporated with EOs by following the method of Iamareerat, Singh, Sadiq, & Anal, 2018 with slight modifications. The CS films without EOs were used as control. EOs (4%) alone were used for dipping (1 min) of peanut kernels to compare with film storage by following the method of Feliziani, Santini, Landi, and Romanazzi (2013). The peanut kernels were selected based on uniformity in size, color, and absence of deformity and treated with abovementioned treatments. Two temperatures, 28 ± 2°C and 5 ± 2°C, were used to store the peanut kernels for 24 days under controlled relative humidity (RH, 70%–75%). The infected peanut kernels was calculated as the number of contaminated kernels out of the total number of kernels per treatment.

Furthermore, peanut kernels (200 g) previously washed with sodium hypochlorite (.4%) were inoculated separately by dipping for 1 min into conidial suspensions of *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* at a concentration of 10^4 conidia/ml. After inoculation, the peanut kernels were dried for 1.5 hr at 25°C and then immersed in different FFSs incorporated with EOs and EOs alone as mentioned above. Five replicates of 30 peanut kernels per treatment were placed into the petri dishes. The treated peanut kernels were incubated at 28 ± 2°C for 14 days in an incubator (Memmert, Buchenbach, Germany), while the relative humidity was maintained at 70%–75%.

The incidence was expressed as the number of contaminated ker‐ nels out of the total number of kernels per treatment (Aloui et al., 2014).

2.7 | **Statistical analysis**

One‐way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and LSD tests were used to find the significant differences among treatments (*p* < .05) using SPSS statistical software package (SPSS, version 23.0, USA).

3 | **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1 | **Antifungal activity of EOs and CS**

All the test EOs showed concentration‐dependent antifungal effects against *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* (Table 1). Thyme and lemon grass EOs showed no visible growth of fungi at 80 μl/ml; therefore, 80 μl/ml was estimated as MIC of thyme and lemon grass EOs against *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum*. MIC of cinnamon EOs was found 40 μl/ml as there was no visible growth of test fungi at 40 μl/ml after 7 days of incubation. There was a significant ($p < .05$) increase in the inhibition of fungi growth with increase in the concentration of EOs. The antifungal ef‐ fects of CS also increased with increase in concentration; however, there was no significant difference (*p* < .05) in inhibition at 2 and 3% of CS. The CS at 2 and 3% showed 12.53 and 13.65% *A. flavus* inhibition and 11.90 and 12.54% *P. citrinum* inhibition, respectively.

Šegvić Klarić, Kosalec, Mastelić, Pieckova, and Pepeljnak (2007) reported the MIC values of thyme EOs as 9.85 and 19.17 μl/ml against *Aspergillus* spp and *Penicillium* spp., respectively. Sriwattanachai et

Concentration	Percent inhibition (%)	
$(\mu$ /ml)	Aspergillus flavus	Penicillium citrinum
10	9.16 ± 1.28 ^a	8.99 ± 1.22 ^a
20	12.53 ± 2.16^b	11.90 ± 1.15^b
30	13.65 ± 3.23^b	12.54 ± 2.53^b
10	18.28 ± 3.81 ^a	19.98 ± 3.59^a
20	50.47 ± 1.19^b	53.80 ± 1.43^b
40	72.12 ± 3.44^c	68.58 ± 2.85 ^c
80	$100.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	100.00 ± 0.00 ^d
10	21.99 ± 1.66^a	21.15 ± 1.67 ^a
20	$52.39 \pm 2.59^{\rm b}$	50.39 ± 2.43^b
40	91.23 ± 4.12^c	92.17 ± 4.31 ^c
80	$100.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$100.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$
2.5	29.30 ± 2.62 ^a	33.80 ± 1.47 ^a
5	59.20 ± 1.97^b	60.20 ± 3.16^b
10	90.10 ± 3.21 ^c	87.70 ± 2.37^c
20	$95.10 \pm 4.00^{\circ}$	$95.80 \pm 4.00^{\circ}$
40	$100.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$100.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$

Note: Different superscript letters (a–d) within a column indicate significant differences (*p* < .05) among mean observations.

EXELUS ET AL. Sof 12

al. (2018) reported the MIC value of thyme EOs as 40 μl/ml against *Penicillium* spp. Ma‐in, Aran, and Phongpaichit (2014) reported the MIC values of cinnamon EOs in the range of 2.5–10 μl/ml against *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* spp. The significant differences between the observed and previously reported MIC of EOs might be due to various factors such as the difference in major components EOs based on extraction technique, cultivation conditions, and different test strain of fungi (Calo, Crandall, O'Bryan, & Ricke, 2015). Pawar and Thaker (2006), reported that antifungal effects of thyme and cinnamon EOs are due to their major constituents of carvacrol and cinnamaldehyde, respectively.

3.2 | **Effects of CS and EOs on fungal hyphae**

Figure 1 shows the effect of different treatments of EOs (4%) and CS (2%) on *A. flavus*. The control treatment (Figure 1a) showed intact mycelia, then the treatments with EOs and CS. The hyphae treated with EOs (4%) alone showed marked lesions and stained lighter blue than those treat with CS (2%) alone, (Figure 1b–e). Microscopic ob‐ servations showed coagulation in the fungal cytoplasm characterized by the combination of CS (2%) with EOs (4%) (Figure 1f–h). The cinnamon EOs (4%) alone and in combination with CS (2%) showed prominent antifungal effects compared with other EOs. The promi‐ nent antifungal effects of cinnamon EOs were in accordance with

the MIC estimation (40 μl/ml), which was found to be lower than other EOs.

The effect of EOs resulted in cytoplasm depletion, lack of in‐ tegrity, and ultimately mycelial death due to the destruction of cell wall structure (Hua et al., 2014; Tripathi, Sharma, & Sharma, 2009; Xing et al., 2014). Wang et al. (2018) reported that hyphae of *A. ochraceus* showed structural alterations after treatment with cin‐ namaldehyde in comparison to control treatment. However, the combined effect of EOs and CS indicated higher intensity of an‐ tifungal response that was clearly evident from the microscopic examination. Similar results were reported by Sriwattanachai et al. (2018) who studied the effect of combined mixture of thyme EOs and *Lactobacillus* cell‐free supernatant on *Penicillium* spp. hyphae and chitin degradation in fungal cell wall. The antifungal effects of CS were reported due to membrane permeabilization and mor‐ phological changes on fungal hyphae (Romanazzi, Feliziani, Baños, & Sivakumar, 2015).

3.3 | **CS films incorporated with EOs**

3.3.1 | **Physical properties of film**

The physical properties of CS films incorporated with EOs are sum‐ marized in Table 2. Thickness of the films varied between .05 and

FIGURE 1 Morphological changes in *Aspergillus flavus's* hyphae after the treatment: Control (a), 2% of CS (b), 4% of thyme EOs (c), 4% of lemongrass EOs (d), 4% of cinnamon EOs (e), combined mixture of 2% of CS with 4% of thyme EOs (f), 2% of CS with 4% of lemongrass (g), and 2% of CS with 4% of cinnamon EOs (h)

TABLE 2 Physical and mechanical properties of the films

Note: Different superscript letters (a–d) within a column indicate significant differences (*p* < .05) among mean observations.

Abbreviations: CEOs, cinnamon essential oil; CS, chitosan; LEOs, lemongrass essential oil; TEOs, thyme essential oil.

TABLE 3 Color measurement of the films

Note: Different superscript letters (a–d) within a column indicate significant differences (*p* < .05) among mean observations.

.07 mm; however, there was no significant difference (*p* < .05) in thickness of CS films incorporated with EOs. WVP of control films was significantly ($p <$.05) decreased with the incorporation of EOs. WVP of CS film was decreased from 2.042 to .97 (10 $^{-9}$ gm $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$ Pa $^{-1}$) after incorporation of thyme EOs. WVP of CS films incorporated with cinnamon EOs was significantly higher than the films incorporated with thyme and lemongrass EOs. EOs as lipid compounds are known to enhance the water barrier properties of polymer‐based films by interfering with the hydrophilic/hydrophobic characteristics of the films because of their hydrophobic nature (Sánchez‐González, Vargas, González‐Martínez, Chiralt, & Cháfer, 2009). The solubility of CS film was significantly decreased from 13.99 to lowest value of 3.59% after the addition lemongrass EOs. The solubility of films is associated with water diffusion, ionization of amino or carboxyl groups, dissociation of hydrogen and ionic bonds, and polymer re‐ laxation (Mathew, Brahmakumar, & Abraham, 2006). The decrease in solubility could be attributed to the increasing cross-linking interactions between CS and hydrophobic EOs.

The addition of EOs significantly (*p* < .05) decreased tensile strength of CS films from 2.93 to lowest value of 1.25 Mpa after incorporation of thyme EOs. However, tensile strength of CS films incorporated with cinnamon (2.14 Mpa) and lemongrass (1.84 Mpa) EOs was significantly higher than the CS films incorporated with thyme EOs. The mechanical characteristics of films depend on the nature of components present in the composite films (Vieira, Silva, Santos, & Beppu, 2011). The variations in the tensile strength of biopolymeric films are linked with structural configuration of hydro‐ phobic phase in the film matrix. The incorporation of EOs results in structural discontinuities that could explain the variation in tensile strength (Chen & Liu, 2016). The nature and composition of EOs can variably influence the tensile strength of the films.

Similar results were previously reported by various studies indicating that addition of EOs decreased the tensile strength of CS films and CS‐cassava starch composite films (Pelissari et al., 2009; Sriwattanachai et al., 2018). Sánchez‐Gonzáles et al. (2010) reported that the tensile strength of CS film decreased after incor‐ poration of bergamot EOs. However, Ojagh et al. (2010) reported an increase in tensile strength after introducing cinnamon EOs into CS films. Tensile strength is usually related to the film network microstructure and the intermolecular force (Atarés, Bonilla, & Chiralt, 2010).

3.3.2 | **Color attributes of films**

Color of the film is important parameter in terms of general appear‐ ance and consumer preferences. The rectangular coordinates (*L**, *a** and *b**) and total color difference (∆*E*) of CS films incorporated with EOs are presented in Table 3. The addition of EOs resulted in decreased *L** (lightness) value of CS films significantly (*p* < .05). The total color difference (∆*E*) could be ascribed to the natural yellow color of EOs (Atarés et al., 2010). The difference in color of the film was related to the nature of the EOs and the internal structure devel‐ oped during film drying (Villalobos, Chanona, Hernández, Gutiérrez, & Chiralt, 2005).

3.3.3 | **SEM analysis of the films**

The microstructure of CS films was influenced by the structural ar‐ rangement of the different components in the matrix. The addition of EOs increased the roughness of the cross‐section matrix of CS films. This implied that flocculation and coalescence occurred during film drying. Characteristic SEM images of surface and cross‐sections

FIGURE 2 SEM images of CS–EOs films. (a) and (b) surface and cross‐section morphology of CS film (2%), respectively, (c) and (d) surface and cross‐section morphology of CS‐thyme EOs film, (e) and (f) surface and cross‐section morphology of CS‐lemongrass EOs film, (g) and (h) surface and cross‐section morphology of CS‐cinnamon EOs film

 0.8

 0.7

 0.6

 0.5

FIGURE 3 FT-IR spectra of CS films incorporated with essential oils. CEO, cinnamon essential oil; CS, chitosan; LEO, lemongrass essential oil; TEO, thyme essential oil

of different films are shown in Figure 2. The CS (2%) film showed a smooth and plane surface without any discontinuities. The uniformity of the film was compromised and a comparatively nonuniform surface morphology was observed in all CS‐EOs films. The cinnamon EO‐based

CS films showed comparatively smooth and morphology with less fis‐ sures compared to thyme and lemongrass‐based films. Similar results were observed by Sánchez‐González et al. (2009) when tea tree EO was added to the hydroxypropyl methylcellulose film.

8 of 12 |14/II FY Journal of **CHEIN ET AL.** Instituted **Fig.1.** Instituted **Fig.1.**

3.3.4 | **FTIR of CS films incorporated with EOs**

The characteristic differences in the absorption peaks of CS films incorporated with EOs are presented in Figure 3 and Table S1. The broad brands in the range of 3,200–3,500 cm−1 were attributed

to O–H stretching of hydroxyl group. The sharp bands at 2,800– 3,000 cm−1 were assigned to aliphatic C–H stretching (Sadiq et al., 2015). The characteristic bands in the ranges of 1,725–1,750 cm^{-1} , 1,620–1,680 cm−1, and 1,210–1,270 cm−1 were assigned to C=O stretching of O=C=O, olefinic C=C stretching, and phenyl‐O

 \approx 6 days \sim 12 days \equiv 18 days \pm 24 days

FIGURE 4 Effect of CS films and essential oils in inhibiting the fungal infection in peanut kernels stored at 28 ± 2°C (A) and 5 ± 2°C (B). 2% CS, CS film; 2% CS + CEOs, CS films incorporated cinnamon essential oils; 2% CS + 4% LEOs, CS films incorporated lemongrass essential oils; 2% CS + 4% TEOs, CS films incorporated thyme essential oils; CEOs, cinnamon essential oils; LEOs, lemongrass essential oil; TEOs, thyme essential oil. Different letters (a–c) above the bars indicate significant differences (*p* < .05) among different treatment groups at each time interval

stretching, respectively. The films incorporated with cinnamon EOs showed sharp bands compared to other CS films. Moreover, the shift in the peaks of CS film after incorporation of EOs demonstrates the existence of interactions among the chemical constituents of the film-forming solution (Wen et al., 2016).

3.4 | **Antifungal effects of CS films incorporated with EOs in peanut conservation**

The peanut kernels stored in 2% CS films showed higher contami‐ nation index as compared to storage in 2% CS films incorporated with 4% EOs (separately with EOs of thyme white, lemongrass, and cinnamon bark) (Figure 4a,b). The results indicated that the percentage of infected peanut kernels was significantly (*p* < .05) reduced by incorporation of EOs into 2% CS film at both storage temperatures, that is, $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and $5 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The peanut kernels treated with 4% of TEOs and LEOs (dipping technique) showed sig‐ nificantly (*p* < .05) higher % of infected kernels compared with the peanut kernels treated with 4% CEOs. The peanut kernels packed in 2% CS films incorporated with 4% CEOs showed complete in‐ hibition of fungal growth at both storage temperatures after 24 days of storage compared with all other treatments. The CS films incorporated with EOs showed significantly (*p* < .05) higher fungal growth inhibition in peanut kernels than the treatments with EOs alone. After 6 days of storage at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C peanut kernels treated with TEOs, LEOs and CEOs showed 42, 34, and 13% fungal infection, respectively; however, the CS films incorporated with TEOs and LEOs showed 11.6 and 5.1% of fungal infection. The CS films incorporated with CEOs showed no evidence of fungal infection at end of storage period (24 days) in comparison to con‐ trol CS films (without EOs) that showed 49% of fungal infection in peanuts after 24 days. There was a gradual increase in fungal con‐ tamination of peanut kernels during storage at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, and after 24 days, fungal infection was found to be 54%, 51%, 22%, 28.5%, and 19.3% for TEOs, LEOs, CEOs, CS films incorporated with TEOs, and LEOs, respectively. Similar trends were observed for different treatments of peanut kernels stored at $5 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C (Figure 4b).

FFS of CS (2%) combined with EOs (4%) inhibited the growth of all target decay causing fungi in artificially contaminated peanut ker‐ nels during 14 days of storage at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C (Figure 5). The artificially infected peanut kernels coated with TEOs, LEOs, and CEOs showed higher % of infection than the CS (2%) FFS incorporated with EOs. In comparison to all treatments, growth of *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* was significantly (*p* < .05) inhibited in artificially inoculated peanut kernels coated with CEOs (4%) and combination of CEOs (4%) with CS (2%) after 14 days of storage at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The combination of CS and CEOs coating restricted *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* infection to 11.6 and 15%, respectively, in artificially inoculated peanut kernels after 14 days of storage at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.

This study demonstrated that combination of CS with CEOs significantly (*p* < .05) reduced the fungal contamination of both *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* in peanut kernels and hence can be used for

FIGURE 5 Effect of CS and EO coatings in inhibition of fungal infection in peanut kernels artificially inoculated with *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium citrinum.* The different coatings used were*:* 2% CS, chitosan; 2% CS + CEOs, CS coating enriched with cinnamon essential oils; 2% CS + 4% LEOs, CS coating enriched with lemongrass essential oils; 2% CS + 4% TEOs, CS coating enriched with thyme essential oils; CEOs, cinnamon essential oils; LEOs, lemongrass essential oil; TEOs, thyme essential oil. Different letters (a–c) above the bars indicate significant differences (*p* < .05) among different treatment groups for each fungal strain

storage of other perishable food products. CS coating can be used as a carrier to incorporate different EOs or extracts with antifun‐ gal activities (Romanazzi et al., 2015). After coating with combi‐ nation of CS and CEOs, the disease incidence was decreased in jujube that was artificially inoculated with *P. citrinum* (Xing, Li, et al., 2011). CS as a coating or solution was reported to be effective against postharvest infections by *A. flavus* (Aloui et al., 2014). The CS coating enriched with CEOs was reported to be effective in controlling the postharvest fungal infection in sweet pepper (Xing, Li, et al., 2011), strawberry (Perdones, Vargas, Atares, & Chiralt, 2014), crown rot disease of banana (Win, Jitareerat, Kanlayanarat, & Sangchote, 2007), and gray mold disease of strawberries ar‐ tificially infected by (*Botrytis cinerea* (Mohammadi, Hashemi, & Hosseini, 2015). The addition of lemon EOs enhanced the antifun‐ gal effects of CS in strawberries inoculated with a spore suspen‐ sion of *B. cinerea* (Perdones, Sanchez‐Gonzalez, Chiralt, & Vargas, 2012).

TEOs were reported to inhibit postharvest decay in table grapes (Valverde et al., 2005), strawberries (Wang, Wang, & Chen, 2008), and kiwi fruit (Shirzad et al., 2011). However, there is no in‐ formation regarding the combined inhibitory effect of CS coating and EOs in controlling fungal growth in peanut during postharvest storage.

The combination of CS and *C. zeylanicum* EOs as a coating was effective in reducing the microbial deterioration of sweet pep‐ pers throughout 35 days of storage at room temperature (Xing, Xu, Li, Che, & Yun, 2012). In general, studies have shown that the CS exhibits more consistent antimicrobial activity against bacteria, while the effect on filamentous fungi and yeast has been variable (Sánchez‐Gonzáles et al., 2010). This study clearly demonstrated that CS films incorporated with EOs inhibited the postharvest fungal growth in peanut kernels at ambient and cold storage.

4 | **CONCLUSION**

The combination of CS with different EOs was used for the con‐ servation of peanut kernels. The incorporation of EOs in CS films resulted a decrease in solubility and WVP of films; however, CEO‐based CS films showed better characteristics in terms of tensile strength and surface morphology compared to CS films incorporated with TEOs and LEOs. The peanut kernels packed in CS films incorporated with CEOs exhibited low incidence of fun‐ gal infection during storage at ambient and cold temperatures. Furthermore, the combination of CS and CEOs was observed as the most effective coating treatment in controlling the growth of *A. flavus* and *P. citrinum* in artificially inoculated peanuts. These findings revealed that CS in combination with CEOs can be used as an effective coating material for controlling fungal growth in agricultural commodities. The CS films incorporated with CEOs can be used to enhance the shelf life of food products as a preferable packaging due to biodegradable nature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NMFA) and the Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest for this article.

ORCID

Anil Kumar Anal <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8201-112X>

REFERENCES

- Aloui, H., Khwaldia, K., Licciardello, F., Mazzaglia, A., Muratore, G., Hamdi, M., & Restuccia, C. (2014). Efficacy of the combined applica‐ tion of chitosan and Locust Bean Gum with different citrus essential oils to control postharvest spoilage caused by *Aspergillus flavus* in dates. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *170*, 21–28. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.10.017) doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.10.017
- Antunes, M. D. C., & Cavaco, A. M. (2010). The use of essential oils for postharvest decay control. A review. *Flavor and Fragrance Journal*, *25*(5), 351–366.
- Arrebola, E., Sivakumar, D., Bacigalupo, R., & Korsten, L. (2010). Combined application of antagonist *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and essential oils for the control of peach postharvest diseases. *Crop Protection*, *29*, 369–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2009.08.001>
- Atarés, L., Bonilla, J., & Chiralt, A. (2010). Characterization of sodium caseinate‐based edible films incorporated with cinnamon or ginger essential oils. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *100*, 678–687. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.05.018) [org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.05.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.05.018)
- Badawy, M. E. I., & Rabea, E. (2009). Potential of the biopolymer chitosan with different molecular weights to control postharvest gray mold of tomato fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *51*, 110–117. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.05.018) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.05.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.05.018)
- Calo, J. R., Crandall, P. G., O'Bryan, C. A., & Ricke, S. C. (2015). Essential oils as antimicrobials in food systems—A review. *Food Control*, *54*, 111–119.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.12.040>
- Chen, G., & Liu, B. (2016). Cellulose sulfate based film with slow‐release antimicrobial properties prepared by incorporation of mustard es‐ sential oil and β‐cyclodextrin. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *55*, 100–107. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.11.009) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.11.009)
- Ekwomadu, T. I., Gopane, R. E., & Mwanza, M. (2018). Occurrence of filamentous fungi in maize destined for human consumption in South Africa. *Food Science & Nutrition*, *6*(4), 884–890. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.561) [org/10.1002/fsn3.561](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.561)
- Elsabee, M. Z., & Abdou, E. S. (2013). Chitosan based edible films and coatings: A review. *Material Science and Engineering*, *33*, 1819–1841. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2013.01.010>
- Feliziani, E., Santini, M., Landi, L., & Romanazzi, G. (2013). Pre- and postharvest with alternatives to synthetic fungicides to control posthar‐ vest decay of sweet cheery. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *78*, 133–138.
- Guezlane‐Tebibel, N., Bouras, N., Mokrane, S., Benayad, T., & Mathieu, F. (2013). Aflatoxigenic strains of *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* isolated from marketed peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea*) in Algiers (Algeria). *Annals of Microbiology*, *63*(1), 295–305. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-012-0473-0) [s13213-012-0473-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-012-0473-0)
- Hosseini, M. H., Razavi, S. H., & Mousavi, M. A. (2009). Antimicrobial, physical and mechanical properties of chitosan‐based films incor‐ porated with thyme, clove and cinnamon essential oils. *Journal*

of Food Processing and Preservation, *33*(6), 727–743. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4549.2008.00307.x) [org/10.1111/j.1745-4549.2008.00307.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4549.2008.00307.x)

- Hromis, N., Lazic, V., Popovic, S., Markov, S., Vastag, Z., Suput, D., … Tomovic, V. (2016). Investigation of a product‐specific active packag‐ ing material based on chitosan biofilm with spice oleoresins. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Research*, *55*, 78–88.
- Hua, H., Xing, F., Selvaraj, J. N., Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., Zhou, L., … Liu, Y. (2014). Inhibitory effect of essential oils on Aspergillus ochraceus growth and ochratoxin A production. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(9), e108285. [https](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108285) [://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108285](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108285)
- Hyldgaard, M., Mygind, T., & Meyer, R. L. (2012). Essential oils in food preservation: Mode of action, synergies, and interactions with food matrix components. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *3*, 1–24. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00012) [org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00012](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00012)
- Iamareerat, B., Singh, M., Sadiq, M. B., & Anal, A. K. (2018). Reinforces cassava starch based edible film incorporated with essential oil and sodium bentonite nanoclay as food packaging material. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *55*(5), 1953–1959.
- Kumari, R., Jayachandran, L. E., & Ghosh, A. K. (2019). Investigation of diversity and dominance of fungal biota in stored wheat grains from governmental warehouses in West Bengal, India. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *99*(7), 3490–3500. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9568) [org/10.1002/jsfa.9568](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9568)
- Ma-in, K., Aran, H., & Phongpaichit, S. (2014). Application of plant essential oils in prevention of fungal growth on Para rubber wood. *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products*, *72*(3), 413–416. [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-014-0790-z) [://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-014-0790-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-014-0790-z)
- Mathew, S., Brahmakumar, M., & Abraham, T. E. (2006). Microstructural imaging and characterization of the mechanical, chemical, thermal, and swelling properties of starch‐ chitosan blend films. *Biopolymers*, *82*(2), 176–187. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.20480>
- Mohammadi, A., Hashemi, M., & Hosseini, S. M. (2015). The control of Botrytis fruit rot in strawberry using combined treatments of chi‐ tosan with *Zataria multiflora* or *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* essential oil. *Journal of Food Science and Technology—Mysore*, *52*(11), 7441–7448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-015-1871-7>
- Moosavy, M. H., Basti, A. A., & Ali, M. (2008). Effect of *Zataria multiflora* Boiss. essential oil and nisin on *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in a food model system and on the bacterial. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *43*, 69–76.
- Mutegi, C. K., Ngugi, H. K., Hendriks, S. L., & Jones, R. B. (2009). Prevalence and factors associated with aflatoxin contamination of peanuts from Western Kenya. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *130*, 27– 34.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.12.030>
- Nakai, V. K., de Oliveira Rocha, L., Gonçalez, E., Fonseca, H., Ortega, E. M. M., & Corrêa, B. (2008). Distribution of fungi and aflatoxins in a stored peanut variety. *Food Chemistry*, *106*(1), 285–290. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.05.087) [org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.05.087](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.05.087)
- Norlia, M., Jinap, S., Nor‐Khaizura, M., Son, R., & Chin, C. K. (2018). Polyphasic approach to the identification and characterization of aflatoxigenic strains of *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* isolated from pea‐ nuts and peanut‐based products marketed in Malaysia. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *282*, 9–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.05.030) [ijfoodmicro.2018.05.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.05.030)
- Ojagh, S. M., Rezaei, M., Razavi, S. H., & Hosseini, S. M. H. (2010). Development and evaluation of a novel biodegradable film made from chitosan and cinnamon essential oil with low affinity toward water. *Food Chemistry*, *122*, 161–166. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.02.033) [foodchem.2010.02.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.02.033)
- Pawar, V. C., & Thaker, V. S. (2006). In vitro efficacy of 75 essential oils against *Aspergillus niger*. *Mycoses*, *49*(4), 316–323. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2006.01241.x) [org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2006.01241.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2006.01241.x)
- Pelissari, F. M., Grossmann, M. V., Yamashita, F., & Pineda, E. A. G. (2009). Antimicrobial, mechanical, and barrier properties of cassava starch‐chitosan films incorporated with oregano essential oil. *Journal*

of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, *57*(16), 7499–7504. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9002363) [org/10.1021/jf9002363](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9002363)

- Perdones, A., Sanchez‐Gonzalez, L., Chiralt, A., & Vargas, M. (2012). Effect of chitosan–lemon essential oil coatings on storage‐keeping quality of strawberry. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *70*, 32–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2012.04.002>
- Perdones, A., Vargas, M., Atares, L., & Chiralt, A. (2014). Physical, antiox‐ idant and antimicrobial properties of chitosan-cinnamon leaf oil films as affected by oleic acid. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *36*, 256–264. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.10.003) doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.10.003
- Riba, A., Bouras, N., Mokrane, S., Mathieu, F., Lebrihi, A., & Sabaou, N. (2010). *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* and aflatoxins in Algerian wheat and derived products. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, *48*(10), 2772–2777. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.07.005>
- Roige, M. B., Aranguren, S. M., Riccio, M. B., Pereyra, S., Soraci, A. L., & Tapia, M. O. (2009). Mycobiota and mycotoxins in fermented feed, wheat grains and corn grains in Southeastern Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. *Revista Iberoamericana de Micología*, *26*(4), 233–237. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2009.03.003) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2009.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2009.03.003)
- Romanazzi, G., Feliziani, E., Baños, S. B., & Sivakumar, D. (2015). Shelf life extension of fresh fruit and vegetables by chitosan treatment. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *57*(3), 579–601.
- Sadiq, M. B., Hanpithakpong, W., Tarning, J., & Anal, A. K. (2015). Screening of phytochemicals and in vitro evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activities of leaves, pods and bark extracts of *Acacia nilotica* (L.) Del. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *77*, 873–882. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.09.067) doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.09.067
- Sánchez‐Gonzáles, L., Chafer, M., Chiralt, A., & González‐Martínez, C. (2010). Physical properties of edible chitosan films containing ber‐ gamot essential oil and their inhibitory action on *Penicillium italicum*. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *82*, 277–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbp](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.04.047) [ol.2010.04.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.04.047)
- Sánchez‐González, L., Vargas, M., González‐Martínez, C., Chiralt, A., & Cháfer, M. (2009). Characterization of edible films based on hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and tea tree essential oil. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *23*, 2102–2109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodh](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2009.05.006) [yd.2009.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2009.05.006)
- Sánchez‐González, L., Vargas, M., González‐Martínez, C., Chiralt, A., & Cháfer, M. (2011). Use of essential oils in bioactive edible coatings: A review. *Food Engineering Reviews*, *3*(1), 1–16. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12393-010-9031-3) [s12393-010-9031-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12393-010-9031-3)
- Sathivel, S., Liu, Q., Huang, J., & Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2007). The in‐ fluence of chitosan glazing on the quality of skinless pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) fillets during frozen storage. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *83*, 366–373. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfood](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.03.009) [eng.2007.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.03.009)
- Šegvić Klarić, M., Kosalec, I., Mastelić, J., Pieckova, E., & Pepeljnak, S. (2007). Antifungal activity of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) essential oil and thymol against moulds from damp dwellings. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *44*(1), 36–42.
- Shao, X., Cao, B., Xu, F., Xie, S., Yu, D., & Wang, H. (2015). Effect of post‐ harvest application of chitosan combined with clove oil against citrus green mold. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *99*, 37–43. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2014.07.014) doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2014.07.014
- Shirzad, H., Hassani, A., Ghosta, Y., Abdollahi, A., Finidokht, R., & Meshkatalsadat, M. H. (2011). Assessment of the antifungal activ‐ ity of natural compounds to reduce postharvest gray mould (*Botrytis cinerea* Pers.: Fr.) of kiwifruits (*Actinidia deliciosa*) during storage. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, *51*, 1–6.
- Sivakumar, D., & Bautista‐Banos, S. (2014). A review on the use of es‐ sential oils for postharvest decay control and maintenance of fruit quality during storage. *Crop Protection*, *64*, 27–37. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.05.012) [org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.05.012)
- Sriwattanachai, S., Sadiq, M. B., & Anal, A. K. (2018). Synergistic antifun‐ gal effects of thyme essential oil and *Lactobacillus plantarum* cell‐free

supernatant against *Penicillium* spp. and in situ effects. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, *42*(1), e13400.

- Tao, N., Jia, L., & Zhou, H. (2014). Anti‐fungal activity of *Citrus reticulata Blanco* essential oil against *Penicillium italicum* and *Penicillium digitatum*. *Food Chemistry*, *153*, 265–271. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.070) [foodchem.2013.12.070](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.070)
- Tian, J., Ban, X. Q., Zeng, H., He, J. S., Huang, B., & Wang, Y. W. (2011). Chemical composition and antifungal activity of essential oil from *Cicuta virosa* L. var. *latisecta* Celak. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *145*, 464–470. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.01.023) [dmicro.2011.01.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.01.023)
- Tripathi, A., Sharma, N., & Sharma, V. (2009). In vitro efficacy of *Hyptis suaveolens* L. (Poit.) essential oil on growth and morphogenesis of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp *gladioli* (Massey) Snyder & Hansen. *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology*, *25*(3), 503–512.
- Valverde, J. M., Guillen, F., Martinez‐Romero, D., Castillo, S., Serrano, M., & Valero, D. (2005). Improvement of table grapes quality and safety by the combination of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and eu‐ genol, menthol, or thymol. *Journal of Agricultral and Food Chemistry.*, *53*, 7458–7464. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050913i>
- Vieira, M. G. A., da Silva, M. A., dos Santos, L. O., & Beppu, M. M. (2011). Natural‐based plasticizers and biopolymer films: A review. *European Polymer Journal*, *47*(3), 254–263. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpo](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2010.12.011) [lymj.2010.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2010.12.011)
- Villalobos, R., Chanona, J., Hernández, P., Gutiérrez, G., & Chiralt, A. (2005). Gloss and transparency of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose films containing surfactants as affected by their microstructure. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *19*, 53–61. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodh](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2004.04.014) [yd.2004.04.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2004.04.014)
- Wang, C. Y., Wang, S. Y., & Chen, C. T. (2008). Increasing antioxidant activity and reducing decay of blueberries by essential oils. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *56*, 3587–3592. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf7037696) [org/10.1021/jf7037696](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf7037696)
- Wang, L., Jin, J., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Zhao, Y., & Xing, F. (2018). Effect of cinnamaldehyde on morphological alterations of *Aspergillus ochraceus* and expression of key genes involved in ochratoxin A biosyn‐ thesis. *Toxins*, *10*(9), 340. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10090340>
- Wang, L., Liu, F., Jiang, Y., Chai, Z., Li, P., Cheng, Y., … Leng, X. (2011). Synergistic antimicrobial activities of natural essential oils with chi‐ tosan films. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *59*(23), 12411– 12419. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf203165k>
- Wen, P., Zhu, D.‐H., Wu, H., Zong, M. H., Jing, Y.‐R., & Han, S.‐Y. (2016). Encapsulation of cinnamon essential oil in electrospun nanofibrous

film for active food packaging. *Food Control*, *59*, 366–376. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.06.005) [org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.06.005)

- Win, N. K. K., Jitareerat, P., Kanlayanarat, S., & Sangchote, S. (2007). Effects of cinnamon extract, chitosan coating, hot water treatment and their combinations on crown rot disease and quality of banana fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *45*(3), 333–340. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.01.020) [org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.01.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.01.020)
- Xing, F., Hua, H., Selvaraj, J. N., Zhao, Y., Zhou, L., Liu, X., & Liu, Y. (2014). Growth inhibition and morphological alterations of *Fusarium verticillioides* by cinnamon oil and cinnamaldehyde. *Food Control*, *46*, 343– 350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.04.037>
- Xing, Y. G., Li, X. H., Xu, Q. L., Yun, J. A., Lu, Y. Q., & Tang, Y. (2011). Effects of chitosan coat‐ ing enriched with cinnamon oil on qualitative prop‐ erties of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Food Chemistry*, *124*(4), 1443–1450.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.07.105>
- Xing, Y., Xu, Q., Li, X., Che, Z., & Yun, J. (2012). Antifungal activities of clove oil against *Rhizopus nigricans*, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Penicillium citrinum* in vitro and in wounded fruit test. *Journal of Food Safety*, *32*, 84–93.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.2011.00347.x>
- Yaw, A. J., Richard, A., Osei, S. K., Seth, O. A., & Adelaide, A. (2008). Chemical composition of groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea* (L) landraces. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *7*(13), 2203–2208.
- Zhong, Y., Song, X., & Li, Y. (2011). Antimicrobial, physical and mechanical properties of kudzu starch‐chitosan composite films as a function of acid solvent types. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *84*, 335–342. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.11.041) [org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.11.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.11.041)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Chein SH, Sadiq MB, Anal AK. Antifungal effects of chitosan films incorporated with essential oils and control of fungal contamination in peanut kernels. *J Food Process Preserv*. 2019;00:e14235. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14235) [org/10.1111/jfpp.14235](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14235)